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Matching with keypoints

(a) Find putative matches
(b) Find inliers (e.g. RANSAC)

(c) Retrieve pose

Dense matching with CNNs

- Current focus of research:
  - Zamir et al, ECCV’16.
  - SfM-Net, arxiv’17.
  - DeMoN, CVPR’17.
  - Lowe et al, CVPR’17.

- Focus: video, small displacements.

- General case (wide baselines) remains unsolved.
Where's the challenge?
RANSAC: not always enough
Geometry to the rescue
Geometry to the rescue

A geometrically-aware deep network.
- **Input:** correspondences.
- **Output:** one weight for each.

We simultaneously learn to:
- Perform **outlier rejection**.
- Regress to the **essential matrix**.
Computing the Essential matrix

Closed form solution: 8-point algorithm

Learning to compute weights

We learn to compute \textbf{weights for the 8-point algorithm}
Learning to compute weights

We learn to compute **weights for the 8-point algorithm**

- **N correspondences**
- **Nx9 matrix**
- **9x9 matrix**
- **Essential Matrix**
- **Deep Net**
- **Fully differentiable!**

\[ uu', uv', u, \ldots \]
Learning to compute weights

We learn to compute \textbf{weights for the 8-point algorithm.}
Adding a classification loss

We can build labels from epipolar geometry

Adding a classification loss

We can build labels from epipolar geometry

Complete formulation

- We jointly train for outlier rejection and regression to the Essential matrix by minimizing the hybrid loss:

\[
\mathcal{L}(\Phi) = \sum_{k=1}^{P} (\alpha \mathcal{L}_x(\Phi, x_k) + \beta \mathcal{L}_e(\Phi, x_k))
\]

- Classification (Inliers vs outliers)
- Regression (which inliers help us retrieve E?)

- For optimal performance, we first minimize the classification loss alone, and then the weighted sum of the two losses.
Unordered data

Our network

• **Input:** putative matches (SIFT+NN). Coordinates only: \( \{u, v, u', v'\}^{1 \leq i \leq N} \)
• **Output:** Weights, encoding inlier probability.
• **Network:** MLPs. Global context embedded via Context Normalization.
Embedding context

- Non-parametric normalization of the mean/std of feature maps.
- Applied over each image pair in the batch separately.
- Also known as Instance Norm, used in image stylization.
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Training data

We need **only** the camera poses!
Ablation test: hybrid loss

We build cumulative curves thresholding over the error in the estimated pose. Metric: \textbf{mAP}, up to a certain angle (5°, 10°, 20°).

The \textbf{classification} loss works, but the \textbf{hybrid loss} does best. Larger margin at smaller thresholds!
Ablation test: Context Norm

We build cumulative curves thresholding over the error in the estimated pose. Metric: **mAP**, up to a certain angle (5°, 10°, 20°).

[Graph showing mAP comparison across different error thresholds for Ours with/without CN and PointNet.]

Context Normalization outperforms global features (PointNet).
Results

Train on only **two sequences**: one indoors & one outdoors (10k pairs from each):

(i) St. Peter’s Square (2.5k images)  
(ii) Brown (video, 8k images)

Test on **completely different** sequences (1k pairs from each):
Results

**Outdoors:** great performance. **Indoors:** slightly better than dense methods.
RANSAC for inference

• At test time, we **do not require differentiability**. We can apply RANSAC!

• Our pipeline:
  1. Forward matches through the network.
  2. Threshold weights to filter them (~15% inliers).
  3. Run RANSAC (~67% inliers).

• **17x times faster** than standalone RANSAC! And ~2x better.
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Code and models: github.com/vcg-uvic/learned-correspondence-release
Please visit the poster!